UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Judgment in a Criminal Case

MIGUEL ANGEL TREJO-SAMANIEGO

(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

Case Number: 2:14CR03802-001JB

USM Number: 09821-051

Defense Attorney: Henry de la Garza, Appointed

HI	HE DEFENDANT:									
	pleaded guilty to count(s) Information pleaded nolo contendere to count(s) which was accepted by the court. after a plea of not guilty was found guilty on count(s)									
The	he defendant is adjudicated guilty of these offenses:									
Title	e and Section	Nature of Offense		Offense Ended	Count Number(s)					
U.	S.C. Sec. 1326(a)/(b)	Re-entry of a Removed Alien		09/17/2014						
T IS	he defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through 3 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing eform Act of 1984. The defendant has been found not guilty on count. Count dismissed on the motion of the United States. IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant must notify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of ame, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. Fordered to pay restitution, the defendant must notify the court and United States attorney of material changes in economic									
1100	umstances.		May 6, 2015							
			Date of Imposition of 3	Judgment						
			/s/ James O. Brown Signature of Judge	ing						
			Honorable James Ounited States Distriction Name and Title of Judgment (1988)	ict Judge						
			June 8, 2015 Date Signed							

Defendant: MIGUEL ANGEL TREJO-SAMANIEGO

Case Number: 2:14CR03802-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 18 months.

The Court recommends that Immigration and Customs Enforcement begin removal proceedings during service of sentence.

Pursuant to section 5D1.1(c), the Court will not impose a term of supervised release.

The Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines' sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines' punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 18 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

☑ The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:

The Court recommends Seagoville Federal Correctional Institution, Seagoville, TX, if eligible.

\boxtimes	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.								
	The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:								
		at on							
		as notified by the United States Marshal.							
☐ The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:									
		before 2 p.m. on							
		as notified by	he United States Marshal.						
		as notified by	he Probation or Pretrial Services Office.						
			RETURN						
I hav	ve ex	ecuted this judgi	nent as follows:						
Defendant delivered on				to					
			at						
				UNITED STATES MARSHAL					
				Ву					

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Judgment - Page 3 of 3

Defendant: MIGUEL ANGEL TREJO-SAMANIEGO

Case Number: 2:14CR03802-001JB

number and type of payment.

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

	e Court hereby remits the	1 2		
Totals:		Assessment \$waived	Fine \$0.00	Restitution \$0.00
		SCHEDULE OF	PAYMENTS	
(6) pena	lties.	following order (1) assessment; (2) r		4) cost of prosecution; (5) interest
		r criminal monetary penalties shall for all payments previously made to		enalties imposed.
A 🗆	In full immediately; or			
В 🗆	\$ immediately, balance	due (see special instructions regard	ing payment of criminal monet	tary penalties).
Special	instructions regarding t	he payment of criminal monetary	penalties: Criminal monetar	y penalties are to be made
	•	k or postal money order to the U.S wise noted by the court. Payments		

Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise in the special instructions above, if this judgment imposes a period of imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties shall be due during the period of imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalty payments, except those payments made through the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, are to be made as directed by the court, the probation officer, or the United States attorney.